05-Jan-04

Steubing McGuinness & Manarus LLP

RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER
JAN 0 4 2005

John T. Manaras * Lindsay G. McGuinness Mary M. Steubing David A. Dagg Holmes W. Anderson Attorneys at Law

125 Nagog Park Orive Acton, MA 01720 USA

(978)-264-6564 (978)-264-9119 Facsimile

* Also admitted in NY

Date:

Christopher Cianciolo Nortel Networks Intellectual Property Law Group 600 Technology Park Drive Mail Stop E65-60-104

Billerica, MA 01821-5501 Patent Application Serial No.: Our Ref: Dear Chris: With regard to the above-identified patent application, enclosed is: Office Action received, please instruct; Final Office Action received, please instruct: Amendment filed: Notice of Allowance, Issue Fees due _ Issue Fee paid; RCE filed; Updated/Corrected/Filing Receipt; Notice of Appeal/Appeal Brief; Issue Notification; Correspondence from Foreign Associate with enclosures; Publication Notice. Assignment Recorded on Reel/Frame Other:

If you have any questions or comments, please do not hesitate to contact us.

Very truly yours,

Steubing McGuinness & Manaras LLP

Mary Steubing

Lindsay G. McGuinness

David A. Dagg

Holmes W. Anderson





United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE JAN 0 4 2005
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.G. Box 1959
ASSENCE AND ASSENCE AND ASSENCE AND ASSENCE ASSENCE AND ASSENCE ASSENCE AND ASSENCE ASSENCE

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/603,065	06/24/2003	Peidong Wang	CORE-67 CON	8630
7570 12/16/2004		EXAMINER		
Pandiscio & Pandiscio 470 Totten Pond Road			FLORES ROIZ, DELMA R	
Waltham, MA 02451-1914			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2828	
		DATE MAN POLITICADO		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

120-380 13448 CK

Rhue 1/16/05

Paper No(s)/Mail Date

PTOL-328 (Rev. 1-04)

P.003/006 F-102 From-Steubing, McGuiness & Manaras LLP Mr. Application No. Applicant(s) 10/603.065 WANG ET AL Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit Delma R. Flores Ruiz **ウ**RフR The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address — Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE $\underline{1}$ MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of firms may be evaluate under the provisions of 37 CFR 1,136(e). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the meating date of this communication. and six (e) MANUTES from the meaning case of this construction.

If the period for raply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a raply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.

If NO period for raply as specified above, the maximum statutory parked will apply and will expire SIX (b) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 1733).

Any reply received by the Office later than three more list after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any carned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b), 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24 June 2003. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims is/are pending in the application. 4) Claim(s) 4a) Of the above claim(s) _ is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) __ is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) ____ ___ is/are objected to, 8) Claim(s) 1-43 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. **Application Papers** 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of. 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Petent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Office Action Summary

6) Other:

Part of Paper No./Mail Date 20041210

978 264 9119

Application/Control Number: 10/603,065

Art Unit: 2828

Page 2

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 1 10, and 23 32 drawn to a tunable Fabry-Perot filter, classified in class 372, subclass 20.
- Claims 11 22 and 33 43, drawn to a method for making a tunable
 Fabry-Perot filter, classified in class 438, subclass 29.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions II and I are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case. That the product as claimed can be made by another and/or materially different process, the process of making is considered a different scope of the invention of the product made since the product made can be manufacture in different manners and the scope is directed to the structural relationship between the components of the apparatus and their functions. However, the process of

Application/Control Number: 10/603,065

Art Unit: 2828

11:18am

Page 3

making is the direct process of how each piece is manufacture and joint together to form the structure itself.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group II is not required for Group I, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement is traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Application/Control Number: 10/603,065

Art Unit: 2828

Page 4

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Delma R. Flores Ruiz whose telephone number is (571) 272-1940. The examiner can normally be reached on M - F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Min Sun Harvey can be reached on (571) -272-1835. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Delma R. Flores Ruiz Examiner

Art Unit 2828

DRFR/MH December 10, 2004 Min Sun Harvey Supervisor Patent Examiner Art Unit 2828